



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

SEP 20 2007

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

SWERNOFSKY LAW GROUP PC
P.O. BOX 390013
MOUNTAIN VIEW CA 94039-0013

In re Application of:

Michael A. Malcolm, et al.

Serial No.: 10/801,091

Filed: March 15, 2004

Attorney Docket Number: 217.1026.01

Title: **REMOTE PLAYBACK OF INGESTED
MEDIA CONTENT**

DECISION ON PETITION TO
MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW
APPLICATION UNDER 37
C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. §
708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on September 4, 2007 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **DENIED**.

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

To be eligible for accelerated examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), the following conditions must be satisfied:

1. The application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);
2. The application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours;
3. The application, at the time of filing, must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;
4. The application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

The application as filed is not eligible for the accelerated examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) because it was not filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; and because the petition was not filed together with the application on or after August 25, 2006. Furthermore the application was not complete at the time of filing (a Notice to File Missing Parts of NonProvisional Application was mailed on June 1, 2004) and the application was filed with more than 20 total claims.

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is denied. Therefore, the file will be taken up by the examiner in its regular turn.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Krista Zele, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-7288.

/Krista Zele/

Krista Zele
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications